## **REDD-plus Guideline for JCM/BOCM**

Dr. Mitsuo Matsumoto Director REDD Research and Development Center, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute (FFPRI)



## Background

- Japan' Government suggests JCM/BOCM as mitigation action after 2013 and REDD-plus is expected as effective activity.
- JCM/BOCM: The Joint Crediting Mechanism / Bilateral Offset Credit Mechanism
- FFPRI supports REDD plus activities by developed Guideline and Cookbook.



### Concept of the Guideline

#### [Significant point]

• Balance between "User Friendly" and "High Transparency"

# [Participation of the multi stakeholders (especially private enterprises and <u>NGOs]</u>

• To promote the REDD-plus activity, the participation of many stakeholders is important. Especially, the participation of the private enterprise and other related organization (e.g. NGO) help the comprehensive REDD-plus activities.

Required accuracy of GHG emission reduction / removals according to International standards (UNFCCC, IPCC, VCS)

Methodological guidance which is "simple" for all stakeholders

Input accumulated scientific outcomes, experiences and knowledge



### Concept of the Guideline

### [Expansion of Project Boundary: Project to Sub-National]

• Recently, REDD-plus activities are conducted by the unit of projects. But, in the mid- and long-term, sub-national / national REDD-plus schemes are required under the UNFCCC. The Guideline should cover each scale of project.

### [Continuous improvement of the guideline]

- The Guideline should be continuously improved according to the new outcomes (research results, findings and experiences).
- We will call for the inputs from the stakeholders.



### Process of Guideline Development



### Overview of the Guideline



- Chap 1: Introduction
  - Background
  - Discussion on REDD-plus in Japan
  - Purpose of the guideline
- Chap 2: Requirements to projects
  - Essential requirements
  - Requirements to elements of projects
- Chap 3: Requirements to methodologies
  - Basic requirements
  - Requirements to elements of methodologies



## Key points

### **Required Accuracy of Analyzed Forest Classification**

#### **Discussion in UNFCCC and others**

- ■Not quantified in UNFCCC and IPCC.
- SOURCEBOOK mentioned from 80 to 95% accuracy for forest/nonforest classification which are achievable by using middle resolution satellite imagery.
- ■VCS methodologies mentioned from 70 to 90% accuracy for forest/nonforest classification, and from 70 to 80% accuracy for each forest types

#### Idea of the Guideline

- From considering international standards (SOURCEBOOK and VCS methodologies), GHG emission reduction and removals are estimated by "suitable accuracy".
- Forest/non-forest should be above 80% accuracy and each forest type should be above 70%.



## Key points

### **Establishment of Reference level**

#### **Discussion in UNFCCC and others**

- Based on historical trend and considering National Circumstances, Reference Level will be established.
- Update of data and information will be inputted and Reference Level is revised.
- VCS requires update of the Reference Level for 10 years intervals according to National Circumstances of socioeconomic and ecological aspects.

#### Idea of the Guideline

- ■The Reference level are based on "Conservativeness", "Revisable" and "Consistency with subnational/national based activities".
- Update of Reference Level should be at least 7 or 10 years intervals (not fixed).
- Considering national situation of host country, Reference Level will be separated into some "Tiers".



### Concept of the Reference Level



### Others

#### Boundary/Land applicability

- Avoid overlapping or cherry picking. Plantation of fast-growing tree species in a vast area (such as oil palm) is not applicable.
- <u>Safeguards</u>
  - Minimum requirement based on concept CBD, FCIP and others.
- Leakage/Additionality
  - To be simple and user friendly
- Monitoring / Accounting
  - Distinction from national inventory
- Scaling-up: project to sub-national or national
  - Reference levels and other works for project level and that for the subnational/national base should be consistent



### Concept of Scaling-Up



### Proposal: Concept of Scaling-Up and REL/RL

#### [Approach 1]

- REDD+ in sub-national base
- Land boundary is border of jurisdiction
- Reference revel is jurisdictional base

#### [Approach 2]

REDD+ in project base

Project A

**Project B** 

Project C

Project D

- Land boundary is border of each project
- Reference level is allocated from jurisdictional reference level

#### [Approach 3]

- REDD+ in project base
- Land boundary is border of each project
- Reference level is developed by each project and coordinate with jurisdictional reference level





Reference Level in each project



### Future plans



## Thank you

